

260(2) · Beltrami Structures and Magnetic Monopoles

The magnetic flux density in general is defined by:

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{B}^a = \kappa \underline{B}^a \quad - (1)$$

where $\underline{B}^a = \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}^a - \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{A}^b \quad - (2)$

Assuming a zero magnetic monopole implies:

$$\underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{B}^b = \underline{A}^b \cdot \underline{R}^a{}_b (\text{spin}), \quad - (3)$$

and $\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{A}^b = 0 \quad - (4)$

from the Cartan identity. Eq. (4) implies:

$$\underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}^b = \underline{A}^b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \quad - (5)$$

and: $\underline{\nabla} \times (\underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{A}^b) = \kappa \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{A}^b \quad - (6)$

From eq. (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\nabla} \times (\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}^a - \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{A}^b) \\ = \kappa (\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}^a - \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \times \underline{A}^b) \end{aligned} \quad - (7)$$

From eqs. (6) and (7):

$$\underline{\nabla} \times (\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}^a) = \kappa \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}^a \quad - (8)$$

so $\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}^a = \kappa \underline{A}^a \quad - (9)$

From eqs (5) and (a):

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a{}_b = \kappa \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \quad - (10)$$

The spin curvature is defined by:

$$\underline{R}^a{}_b(\text{spin}) = \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a{}_b - \underline{\omega}^a{}_c \times \underline{\omega}^c{}_b \quad - (11)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{R}^a{}_b(\text{spin}) &= \underline{\nabla} \cdot (\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a{}_b - \underline{\omega}^a{}_c \times \underline{\omega}^c{}_b) \quad - (12) \\ &= - \underline{\nabla} \cdot (\underline{\omega}^a{}_c \times \underline{\omega}^c{}_b) \\ &= \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^c{}_b \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_c - \underline{\omega}^c{}_b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a{}_c \\ &= \kappa (\underline{\omega}^c{}_b \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_c - \underline{\omega}^c{}_b \cdot \underline{\omega}^a{}_c) \\ &= 0 \quad - (13) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that:

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{R}^a{}_b(\text{spin}) = \kappa \underline{R}^a{}_b(\text{spin}) \quad - (14)$$

The absence of a magnetic monopole implies that \underline{B}^a , \underline{A}^a , $\underline{\omega}^a{}_b$ and $\underline{R}^a{}_b(\text{spin})$ are all Beltrami structures in general.

3) The magnetic moment is defined by:

$$\underline{p}_{\text{mag}}^a = \epsilon_0 c (\underline{\omega}^a_b \cdot \underline{B}^b - \underline{A}^b \cdot \underline{R}^a_b(\text{spin})) - (15)$$

so the magnetic moment of an electron or proton or neutron has an internal structure characterized

by

$$\underline{p}_{\text{mag}}^a = 0 - (16)$$

From eq. (3):

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\omega}^a_b \cdot (\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}^b - \underline{\omega}^b_c \times \underline{A}^c) \\ = \frac{1}{\mu} \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}^b \cdot \underline{R}^a_b(\text{sp}) - (17) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\omega}^a_b \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}^b = \frac{1}{\mu} \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}^b \cdot \underline{R}^a_b(\text{sp}) \\ + \underline{\omega}^a_b \cdot \underline{\omega}^b_c \times \underline{A}^c - (18) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} = \frac{1}{\mu} \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}^b \cdot \underline{R}^a_b(\text{sp}) + \underline{A}^c \cdot \underline{\omega}^a_b \times \underline{\omega}^b_c \\ = \frac{1}{\mu} \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{A}^b \cdot (\underline{R}^a_b(\text{sp}) + \underline{\omega}^a_c \times \underline{\omega}^c_b) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{R}^a_b(\text{sp}) = \mu \underline{\omega}^a_b - \underline{\omega}^a_c \times \underline{\omega}^c_b \\ = \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{\omega}^a_b - \underline{\omega}^a_c \times \underline{\omega}^c_b - (19) \end{aligned}$$

1) This is a self consistent result and the correct definition of $\underline{R}^a_b(\underline{spix})$. Therefore the absence of a magnetic monopole is equivalent to:

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{R}^a_b(\underline{spix}) = \kappa \underline{R}^a_b(\underline{spix}) - (20)$$

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{R}^a_b(\underline{spix}) = 0 - (21)$$

so

$$\boxed{(\underline{\nabla}^2 + \kappa^2) \underline{R}^a_b(\underline{spix}) = \underline{0}} - (22)$$

This is a Helmholtz equation in \underline{spix} curvature. It gives structure to an electron, proton or neutron. The \underline{spix} curvature inside these elementary particles is a very rich one, with well known solutions in terms of Bessel functions.
