

231(1) : Development of the Tetradic Terms of the Metric.
 Consider for simplicity the metric tensor in three dimensional

Space:

$$g_{ij} = g_{ji} = h_i h_j \underline{e}_i \cdot \underline{e}_j \quad - (1)$$

$$= \frac{dr}{du_i} \cdot \frac{dr}{du_j}$$

in curvilinear coordinates. Here:

$$\frac{dr}{du_i} = h_i \underline{e}_i \quad - (2)$$

where \underline{e}_i are the unit vectors, and where the scaling factors are

$$h_i = \left| \frac{dr}{du_i} \right| \quad - (3)$$

In the Cartesian coordinate system:

$$\underline{r} = X \underline{i} + Y \underline{j} + Z \underline{k} \quad - (4)$$

The unit vectors are:

$$\underline{i} = \frac{dr}{dX}, \quad \underline{j} = \frac{dr}{dY}, \quad \underline{k} = \frac{dr}{dZ} \quad - (5)$$

The scaling factors are:

$$h_1 = |\underline{i}| = (\underline{i} \cdot \underline{i})^{1/2} = 1 \quad - (6)$$

and $h_2 = h_3 = 1 \quad - (7)$

So the metric tensor is:

$$g_{ij} = g_{ji} = \underline{e}_i \cdot \underline{e}_j \quad - (8)$$

2) i.e. $g_{ij} = g_{ji} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{i} \cdot \underline{i} & \underline{i} \cdot \underline{j} & \underline{i} \cdot \underline{k} \\ \underline{j} \cdot \underline{i} & \underline{j} \cdot \underline{j} & \underline{j} \cdot \underline{k} \\ \underline{k} \cdot \underline{i} & \underline{k} \cdot \underline{j} & \underline{k} \cdot \underline{k} \end{bmatrix} \quad - (9)$

$= \begin{bmatrix} \underline{i} \\ \underline{j} \\ \underline{k} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \underline{i} & \underline{j} & \underline{k} \end{bmatrix} \quad - (10)$

In flat space:

$g_{ij} = g_{ji} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad - (11)$

In general:

$g^{\mu\nu} = \underline{e}^\mu \cdot \underline{e}^{\nu T} \quad - (12)$

If the tetrad is defined by:

$\underline{e}^{(a)} = e^{\mu(a)} \underline{e}^\mu \quad - (13)$

then:

$\underline{e}^{(a)} \cdot \underline{e}^{\nu T} = e^{\mu(a)} \underline{e}^\mu \cdot \underline{e}^{\nu T} \quad - (14)$

i.e.

$g^{a\nu} = e^{\mu(a)} g^{\mu\nu} \quad - (15)$

and

$e^{\mu(a)} = g^{a\nu} g_{\mu\nu} \quad - (16)$

3) because $g^{\mu\nu} g_{\mu\nu} = 1$ - (17)

If a or (a) represents the complex coordinates then:

$$g^{a\bar{b}} = \underline{e}^a \cdot \underline{e}^{\bar{b}\tau} \quad - (18)$$

and $g_{\mu}^a = \underline{e}^a \cdot \underline{e}^{\nu\tau} g_{\mu\nu}$ - (19)

with $g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ - (20)

So the space parts of g_{μ}^a are given by:

$$g_{\mu}^{(a)} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{e}^{(1)} \cdot \underline{i} & \underline{e}^{(1)} \cdot \underline{j} & \underline{e}^{(1)} \cdot \underline{k} \\ \underline{e}^{(2)} \cdot \underline{i} & \underline{e}^{(2)} \cdot \underline{j} & \underline{e}^{(2)} \cdot \underline{k} \\ \underline{e}^{(3)} \cdot \underline{i} & \underline{e}^{(3)} \cdot \underline{j} & \underline{e}^{(3)} \cdot \underline{k} \end{bmatrix} \quad (21)$$

For example there are tetrad components such as:

$$g_{\quad 1}^{(1)} = \underline{e}^{(1)} \cdot \underline{i} \quad - (22)$$

$$= \underline{e}^{(1)} \cdot \underline{e}^1$$

The tetrad postulate asserts that:

$$D_{\nu} g_{\mu}^a = 0 \quad - (23)$$

$$D_{\nu} g_{\quad 1}^{(1)} = 0 \quad - (24)$$

so

for all ν .

4) When $\underline{e}^{(1)}$ and \underline{i} are static unit vectors eq. (24) is a trivial result, because:

$$\underline{e}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} - \underline{i}j) = \text{constant}, \quad -(25)$$

$$\underline{i} = \text{constant}. \quad -(26)$$

However, in the case of circularly polarized dynamics, $\underline{e}^{(1)}$ is phase dependent, as in previous UFT papers:

$$\underline{e}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} - \underline{i}j) \exp(-i(\omega t - \underline{\kappa} \cdot \underline{Z})), \quad -(27)$$

and its complex conjugate is:

$$\underline{e}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{i} + \underline{i}j) \exp(i(\omega t - \underline{\kappa} \cdot \underline{Z})). \quad -(28)$$

w/:

$$\underline{e}^{(3)} = \underline{k}, \quad -(29)$$

so

$$\underline{e}^{(1)} \times \underline{e}^{(2)} = i \underline{e}^{(3)*} \quad -(30)$$

et cetera.

This is a frame of reference that rotates and translates along the Z axis.

It must therefore be defined by a spin connection and gamma convention. The tetrad postulate is:

$$D_\omega \underline{v}_\mu^a = D_\omega \underline{v}_\mu^a + \omega_{\nu b}^a \underline{v}_\mu^b - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \underline{v}_\lambda^a = 0. \quad -(31)$$

$$= D_\omega \underline{v}_\mu^a + \omega_{\nu\mu}^a - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^a$$

5) Therefore:

$$d\omega q_{\mu}^a = \Omega_{\nu\mu}^a - (32)$$

where

$$\Omega_{\nu\mu}^a = \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^a - \omega_{\nu\mu}^a - (33)$$

For two static frames eqs. (25) and (26):

$$\Omega_{\mu\nu}^a = 0 - (34)$$

However, from eq. (27) is eq. (22):

$$q_{\nu}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp(-i(\omega t - \underline{\kappa} \cdot \underline{z})) - (35)$$

and:

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{dq_{\nu}^{(1)}}{dt} = -i \frac{\omega}{c} q_{\nu}^{(1)} = \Omega_{0\nu}^{(1)} - (36)$$

$$\frac{dq_{\nu}^{(1)}}{dz} = i \kappa q_{\nu}^{(1)} = \Omega_{3\nu}^{(1)} - (37)$$

There are two spiral convection components:

$$\Omega_{0\nu}^{(1)} = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\omega}{c} \exp(-i(\omega t - \underline{\kappa} \cdot \underline{z})) - (38)$$

and

$$\Omega_{3\nu}^{(1)} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \kappa \exp(-i(\omega t - \underline{\kappa} \cdot \underline{z})) - (39)$$

For propagation at the speed of light:

$$b) \quad \kappa = \frac{\omega}{c} \quad - (40)$$

$$\text{So} \quad \Omega_{01}^{(1)} = -\Omega_{31}^{(1)} \quad - (41)$$

From eq. (32):

$$\partial^\nu \partial_\nu q_\mu^a = \square q_\mu^a = \partial^\nu \Omega_{\nu\mu}^a \quad - (42)$$

$$\text{Define:} \quad -R q_\mu^a := \partial^\nu \Omega_{\nu\mu}^a \quad - (43)$$

$$\text{then} \quad (\square + R) q_\mu^a = 0 \quad - (44)$$

which is the ECE wave equation with:

$$R := -q_a^\mu \partial^\nu \Omega_{\nu\mu}^a \quad - (45)$$

$$\text{For example:} \quad (\square + R) q_1^{(1)} = 0 \quad - (46)$$

$$\text{where} \quad \square = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \quad - (47)$$

$$\text{and} \quad q_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(-i\left(\omega t - \underline{\kappa} \cdot \underline{Z}\right)\right) \quad - (48)$$

$$\text{It follows that} \quad R = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} + \kappa^2 \quad - (49)$$

It can be checked that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \square q_{\nu}^{(1)} &= \partial^{\nu} \Omega_{\nu 1}^{(1)} \\
 &= \partial^0 \Omega_{01}^{(1)} + \partial^3 \Omega_{31}^{(1)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \Omega_{01}^{(1)}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \Omega_{31}^{(1)}}{\partial z}, \quad - (50)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Omega_{01}^{(1)} = -i \frac{\omega}{c} q_{\nu}^{(1)}, \quad - (51)$$

$$\Omega_{31}^{(1)} = i \kappa q_{\nu}^{(1)}, \quad - (52)$$

so

$$\partial^0 \Omega_{01}^{(1)} = -i \frac{\omega}{c} \partial^0 q_{\nu}^{(1)} = -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} q_{\nu}^{(1)} \quad - (53)$$

$$\partial^3 \Omega_{31}^{(1)} = i \kappa \partial^3 q_{\nu}^{(1)} = -\kappa^2 q_{\nu}^{(1)} \quad - (54)$$

so

$$\square q_{\nu}^{(1)} = \partial^{\nu} \Omega_{\nu 1}^{(1)} = -R q_{\nu}^{(1)} \quad - (55)$$

Q.E.D.

In general the wave equation of FCE can be derived from the definition (16):

$$q_{\nu}^a = g^{a\nu} g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu}^a \quad - (56)$$

which shows that the tetrad is a mixed index metric. Most generally, a and μ may indicate

8) two different mathematical spaces, or two different representations of the same mathematical space. Using the definition (56) the metric compatibility condition and the tetrad postulate become the same:

$$\boxed{D_{\nu} g_{\mu}^a = D_{\nu} g_{\mu}^a = 0} \quad - (57)$$

The connection may be defined in terms of the mixed index metric as:

$$\boxed{\Omega_{\nu\mu}^a = D_{\nu} g_{\mu}^a} \quad - (58)$$

and the wave equation is:

$$\boxed{(\square + R) g_{\mu}^a = 0} \quad - (59)$$

So the equations of the wave equations of physics are defined as mixed index metrics.

The mixed index metric g_{μ}^a is the mixed index metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ with index lowered by the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Finally, it is noted that Cartan structure equations and identity apply with g_{μ}^a replaced by $g_{\mu\nu}$.
