

2B(4): Further proof of the Tensorial Nature of the Christoffel Connection

In note 2B(3) it was proved that:

$$\Gamma_{\mu'\lambda'}^{a'} = \frac{\partial x^{a'}}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x^{\mu'}} \frac{\partial x^\lambda}{\partial x^{\lambda'}} \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^a - \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x^{\mu'}} \frac{\partial x^\lambda}{\partial x^{\lambda'}} \frac{\partial x^{a'}}{\partial x^{\nu'}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left(\frac{\partial x^{\nu'}}{\partial x^\lambda} \right) \quad (1)$$

In defining:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^a = \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\sim} q_{\sim}^a \quad (2)$$

it has been assumed that there is the following functional dependence of a on \sim :

$$q_{\sim}^a = \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial x^{\sim}} \quad (3)$$

Therefore:

$$q_{\sim'}^{a'} = \frac{\partial x^{a'}}{\partial x^{\nu'}} = \frac{\partial x^{a'}}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial x^{\sim}}{\partial x^{\nu'}} q_{\sim}^a \neq 0 \quad (4)$$

However

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\sim'}^{a'} &= \frac{\partial x^{a'}}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial x^{\nu'}} \\ &= \Lambda_{a'}^a q_{\sim}^a q_{\sim'}^{\sim} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

unless

$$a = \sim' \quad (6)$$

There is a contradiction between eqs. (4) and (5) because it has been assumed that there is a functional dependence of x^a on $x^{a'}$. There is no functional dependence of this type, so the chain rule (5) cannot be used.

Work of relabelling of repeated indices:

$$\frac{dx^{a'}}{dx^{a'}} \frac{d}{dx^{\mu}} \left(\frac{dx^{a'}}{dx^{\lambda}} \right) = \frac{dx^{a'}}{dx^{a'}} \frac{d}{dx^{\mu}} \left(\frac{dx^{a'}}{dx^{\lambda}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{d}{dx^{\mu}} \left(\frac{dx^{a'}}{dx^{\lambda}} \right) \quad - (7)$$

because μ runs from 0 to 3 and a runs from 0 to 3.

The following rule is valid:

$$\frac{dx^{a'}}{dx^{\lambda}} = \frac{dx^{a'}}{dx^a} \frac{dx^a}{dx^{\lambda}}$$

$$= \Lambda^{a'}_a \eta^a_{\lambda} \quad - (8)$$

$$= \eta^a_{\lambda} \Lambda^{a'}_a = \delta^{a'}_{\lambda}$$

$$= 0 \quad - (9)$$

unless

$$a' = \lambda$$

and if

$$a' = \lambda \quad - (10)$$

$$\frac{d}{dx^\mu} \left(\frac{dx^{a'}}{dx^\lambda} \right) = 0 \quad \text{--- (11)}$$

The rules of Cartesian geometry are:

$$g_\mu^\alpha g^\mu_b = \delta^a_b \quad \text{--- (12)}$$

$$g_\mu^a g^\mu_a = \delta^\mu_\mu \quad \text{--- (13)}$$

So:

$$\begin{aligned} g_\mu^\alpha g^\mu_b &= g^\alpha_b g_\mu^\mu g^\mu_b \\ &= g^\alpha_b = \delta^a_b \quad \text{--- (14)} \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\lambda'}^{a'} &= \Lambda^{a'}_a g^a_{a'} g_{\lambda'}^{a'} \\ &= \Lambda^{a'}_a \Lambda^a_{a'} g_{\lambda'}^{a'} \quad \text{--- (15)} \end{aligned}$$

i.e

$$\Lambda^{a'}_a \Lambda^a_{a'} = 1 \quad \text{--- (16)}$$

which means that the Lorentz transform and inverse Lorentz transform are inverse matrices.

By definition:

$$g_{\lambda'}^{a'} = \delta^a_b \quad \text{--- (17)}$$

So:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\mu'\lambda'}^{a'} &= \frac{dx^{a'}}{dx^a} \frac{dx^\mu}{dx^{\mu'}} \frac{dx^\lambda}{dx^{\lambda'}} \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^a \\ \Gamma_{\mu'\lambda'}^{a'} &= \frac{dx^{\nu'}}{dx^\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{dx^{\mu'}} \frac{dx^\lambda}{dx^{\lambda'}} \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\nu \end{aligned} \quad \text{--- (18)}$$

QED